| ROMBLON STATE UNIVERSITY | MFO ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT CARD (MARC-1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | outputs | DEPARTMENT BUDGET EY 2016 (in million) | OVERALL RESULIS ASSESSMENT |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SERVICE/ PRODUCT RESULTS |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | PERFORMANCE INDICATORS | FY 2015 AGTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENT | FY 2016 TARGET | FY 2015 ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENT | Rating |
|  | MUSOR FINAL OUTPUTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Higher Education Services | Php 145.162 | Total Number of Graduates | $\begin{gathered} 1,532 \\ \text { graduates } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,565 \\ \text { graduates } \end{gathered}$ | $1,744$ <br> graduates | 111\% |
|  |  |  | Percentage of Total Graduates that are in Priority Courses | $\begin{gathered} 90 \% \\ \text { 1,383 out of 1,532 } \\ \text { graduates } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85 \% \\ & \text { graduates } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 88 \% \\ 1,528 \text { out of } 1,744 \\ \text { graduates } \end{gathered}$ | 103\% |
|  |  |  | Average Passing Percentage of Licensure Exams by the SUC Graduates/National Average Percentage Passing Across all Disciplines Covered by the SUC | $\begin{gathered} 94 \% \\ \mathbf{5 1 . 8 7 \%} \text { SUC Graduates } \\ \text { out of } 55.17 \% \text { National } \\ \text { Average Percentage } \end{gathered}$ | 30\% | 96\% <br> 51.19\% SUC Graduates out of $52.10 \%$ National Average Percentage | 321\% |
|  |  |  | Percentage of programs accredited at: |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Level 1 | $\begin{gathered} 38 \% \\ 8 \text { out of } 21 \\ \text { programs accredited } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \% \\ \text { projects completed } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \% \\ 8 \text { out of } 51 \\ \text { programs accredited } \end{gathered}$ | 134\% |
|  |  |  | Level 2 | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 7 \text { out of } 7 \\ \text { programs accredited } \end{gathered}$ | $14 \%$ projects completed | $\begin{gathered} 14 \% \\ 7 \text { out of } 51 \\ \text { programs accredited } \end{gathered}$ | 100\% |
|  |  |  | Level 3 | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 7 \text { out of } 7 \\ \text { programs accredited } \end{gathered}$ | projects completed | $\begin{gathered} 14 \% \\ 7 \text { out of } 51 \\ \text { programs accredited } \end{gathered}$ | 100\% |
|  |  |  | Percentage of graduates who finished academic program according to the prescribed timeframe | $\begin{gathered} 92 \% \\ \text { 1,532 out of 1,673 } \\ \text { graduates } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 82 \% \\ \text { graduates } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 94 \% \\ \text { 1,528 out of } 1,621 \\ \text { graduates } \end{gathered}$ | 115\% |
|  | Advanced Education Services | Php1.453 | Total number of graduates | 14 <br> graduates | 12 <br> graduates | graduates | 108\% |
| The Romblon State College primarily provides higher technological, professional and vocational instruction and training in science, agriculture and industrial fields, as well as short-term technical or vocational courses. It also promotes research, advanced studies and progressive leadership in its areas of specialization. |  |  | Percentage of graduates engaged in employment within 6 months of graduation | $100 \%$ <br> 14 out of 14 graduates | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \\ \text { graduates } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 13 \text { out of } 13 \\ \text { graduates } \end{gathered}$ | 105\% |
|  |  |  | Percentage of students who rate timelliness of education deliverer/supervision as good or better | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & 72 \text { out of } 72 \\ & \text { students } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87 \% \\ \text { students } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 96 \% \\ 135 \text { out of } 141 \\ \text { students } \end{gathered}$ | 110\% |
|  | Research Services | Php1. 300 | Number of research completed | $42$ <br> researches completed | $45$ <br> researches completed | $48$ <br> researches completed | 107\% |
|  |  |  | Percentage of projects completed in the last 3 years | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 42 \text { out of } 42 \\ \text { projects completed } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 86 \% \\ \text { projects completed } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 105 \text { out of } 105 \\ \text { projects completed } \end{gathered}$ | 116\% |
|  |  |  | For level 1-2 SUCs: Percentage of research outputs presented in local, regional, national or international fora | 64\% <br> 27 out of 42 research outputs | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \% \\ & \text { research outputs } \end{aligned}$ | 74\% <br> 32 out of 43 research outputs | 149\% |
|  |  |  | Percentage of research projects completed within the original project timeframe | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 42 \text { out of } 42 \\ \text { research projects } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94 \% \\ & \text { research projects } \end{aligned}$ | $100 \%$ 100 out of 105 research projects | 106\% |
|  | Technical Advisory External Services | Php1.000 | Number of persons trained weighted by the length of training | 4,543 persons | $3,500$ <br> persons | $3,526$ <br> persons | 101\% |
|  |  |  | Number of persons provided with technical advice | $\begin{gathered} 400 \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | $400$ persons | $\begin{gathered} 445 \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | 111\% |
|  |  |  | Percentage of trainees who rate the training course as good or better | 93\% <br> 4,229 out of 4,543 <br> trainees | $92 \%$ <br> trainees | $\begin{aligned} & 93 \% \\ & 2,390 \text { out of } 2,582 \\ & \text { trainees } \end{aligned}$ | 101\% |
|  |  |  | Percentage of clients who rate the advisory services as good or better | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \% \\ & 333 \text { out of } 400 \\ & \text { clients } \end{aligned}$ | 80\% clients | 100\% <br> 445 out of 445 clients | 125\% |
|  |  |  | Percentage of request for training responded to within 3 days of request | 100\% <br> 45 out of 45 requests | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 95\% } \\ & \text { requests } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & 36 \text { out of } 36 \\ & \text { requests } \end{aligned}$ | 105\% |
|  |  |  | Percentage of request for technical advice that are responded to within 3 days | $\begin{aligned} & 98 \% \\ & 390 \text { out of } 400 \\ & \text { requests } \end{aligned}$ | 95\% requests | $100 \%$ 445 out of 445 requests | 105\% |
|  |  |  | Percentage of persons who receive training or advisory services who rate timeliness of service delivery as good or better | $\begin{aligned} & 85 \% \\ & 341 \text { out of } 400 \\ & \text { persons } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\substack{80 \% \\ \text { persons }}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & 445 \text { out of } 445 \\ & \text { persons } \end{aligned}$ | 125\% |


| ROMBLON STATE UNIVERSITY | MFO ACCOUNTABTLITY REPORT CARD (MARC-1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | outputs | DEPARTMENT BUDGET <br> FY 2016 (in million) | OVERALL RESULIS ASSESSMENI |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SERVICE/ PRODUCT RESULTS |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | PERFORMANCE INDICATORS | FY 2015 ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENT | FY 2016 TARGET | FY 2015 ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENT | RATING |
| The Romblon State College primarily provides higher technological, professional and vocational instruction and training in science, agriculture and industrial fields, as well as short-term technical or vocational courses. It also promotes research, advanced studies and progressive leadership in its areas of specialization. | STO and GASS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SUPPORT TO OPERATIONS | Php5.616 | Posting of Quality Management System ISO 9001:2008 Certificate or Quality Manual and Quality Procedures/ PAWIM | 100\% posted | 100\% posted | $\underset{\text { posted }}{100 \%}$ | 100\% |
|  |  |  | Percentage of students who rate student service delivery as good or better | $\begin{gathered} 61 \% \\ \text { 1,137 out of 1,852 } \\ \text { students } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \% \\ & \text { students } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97 \% \\ & 884 \text { out of } 910 \\ & \text { students } \end{aligned}$ | 177\% |
|  | general ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT SERVICES | Php27.183 | Budget Utilization Rate |  |  |  | 93\% |
|  |  |  | Obligations BUR Ratio of total obligations against all allotments. | 97\% | 100\% | 93\% |  |
|  |  |  |  | Php 210,621,844 |  | Php $214,841,022$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Php 217,635,353 |  | Php229,781,811 |  |
|  |  |  | Disbursements BUR Ratio of total disbursement to total oblligations. | $92 \%$ | 100\% |  | 63\% |
|  |  |  | totar obingations. | Php 64,791,029 Php 70,673,537 |  | Php44,522,301 <br> Php $70,994,452$ |  |
|  |  |  | Public Financial Management reporting requirements of COA and DBM |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Budget and Financial Accountabillty Reports (BFARs) | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
|  |  |  | Report on Ageing Cash Advance | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
|  |  |  | COA Financial Reports | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
|  |  |  | APCPI | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
|  |  |  | Submission of APP | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Source: Agency form A/A-1; Assessment of CHED; Assessment of DBM RO-IV B (BUR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

